

## 2 Minimax theorems under $\eta$ -connectedness

Let  $X$  be a nonempty set in a topological space,  $Y$  a nonempty  $\eta$ -connected set in a vector space for some  $\eta$ , and  $f : X \times Y \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a real-valued function. Then  $f$  is said to be *lower semicontinuous on the  $\eta$  of  $Y$*  if for each  $x \in X$  and  $y_1, y_2 \in Y$ , the function

$$t \longrightarrow f(x, \eta(y_1, y_2, t))$$

is a lower semicontinuous function of  $t$  on  $[0, 1]$ . If  $f$  is a lower semicontinuous function on  $Y$ , then  $f$  is clearly lower semicontinuous on the  $\eta$  of  $Y$ .

In this section, our main result involves only the  $\eta$ -connectedness instead of convexity. Before proceeding this, we require the following technical lemma of Farka's type.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $X$  be a nonempty compact set of a topological space and let  $Y$  be a nonempty  $\eta$ -connected set. Let  $f, g$  be real-valued functions defined on  $X \times Y$  with the following properties:*

- (0)  $f(x, y) \leq g(x, y)$  for all  $(x, y) \in X \times Y$ ;
- (i)  $f(\cdot, y)$  and  $g(\cdot, y)$  are upper semicontinuous on  $X$  for each  $y \in Y$ ;
- (ii) For any  $y_0, y_1 \in Y$ ,

$$g(x, \eta(y_0, y_1, t)) \leq \max\{g(x, y_0), f(x, y_1)\}$$

for all  $x \in X$ , for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ ;

- (iii)  $f$  is lower semicontinuous on the  $\eta$  of  $Y$ ;
- (iv) For any  $y_1, \dots, y_n \in Y$ , any  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ , the set  $\bigcap_{i=1}^n \{x \in X; g(x, y_i) \geq \lambda\}$  is either connected or empty.

Then for any  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have the following alternative:

Either there exists  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $g(x_0, y) \geq \lambda$  for all  $y \in Y$   
or there exists  $y_0 \in Y$  such that  $f(x, y_0) < \lambda$  for all  $x \in X$ .

**Proof.** For each  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}, y \in Y$ , let

$$U_g(y) = \{x \in X; g(x, y) \geq \lambda\},$$

and

$$U_f(y) = \{x \in X; f(x, y) \geq \lambda\}.$$

Fixed  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ , if for some  $y_0 \in Y$ ,  $U_f(y_0) = \emptyset$ , then  $f(x, y_0) < \lambda$  for all  $x \in X$ . Thus, we may assume that

$$U_f(y) \neq \emptyset. \tag{1}$$

for all  $y \in Y$ . We are going to show that

$$\bigcap_{y \in Y} U_g(y) \neq \emptyset.$$

Since  $X$  is compact, we need only to show that the family  $\{U_g(y)\}_{y \in Y}$  has the finite intersection property, that is,

$$\bigcap_{y \in F} U_g(y) \neq \emptyset \text{ for all finite subsets } F \subset Y.$$

If  $|F|=1$ . By (1),  $U_f(y) \neq \emptyset$  for all  $y \in Y$ . From condition (0),  $U_g(y) \supset U_f(y)$ , so  $U_g(y) \neq \emptyset$  for all  $y \in Y$ .

Let  $F = \{y_0, y_1\} \subset Y$ ,  $y_0 \neq y_1$ . We want to show that

$$U_g(y_0) \cap U_g(y_1) \neq \emptyset$$

By condition(0) and

$$U_g(y_0) \cap U_f(y_1) = \bigcap_{\epsilon > 0} (U_g(y_0, \lambda - \epsilon) \cap U_f(y_1, \lambda - \epsilon)),$$

it is sufficient to show that for any  $\epsilon > 0$ ,

$$U_g(y_0, \lambda - \epsilon) \cap U_f(y_1, \lambda - \epsilon) \neq \emptyset \tag{2}$$

Fixed  $\epsilon > 0$ , for each  $t \in [0, 1]$ , consider the following sets

$$\begin{aligned} S_g(t) &\equiv U_g(\eta(y_0, y_1, t), \lambda - \epsilon) \\ &= \{x \in X; g(x, \eta(y_0, y_1, t)) \geq \lambda - \epsilon\}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} S_f(t) &\equiv U_f(\eta(y_0, y_1, t), \lambda - \epsilon) \\ &= \{x \in X; f(x, \eta(y_0, y_1, t)) \geq \lambda - \epsilon\}. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that, for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,  $S_g(t)$  is a nonempty compact connected subset of  $X$  by (1), conditions (i) and (iv), and  $S_f(t)$  is nonempty closed subset of  $X$  by (1) and condition (i).

Let

$$A_0 \equiv \{t \in [0, 1]; S_f(t) \subset S_g(0)\},$$

and

$$A_1 \equiv \{t \in [0, 1]; S_f(t) \subset S_f(1)\},$$

If  $S_g(0) \cap S_f(1)$  were empty set, we claim  $(\alpha)$ ,  $(\beta)$  and  $(\gamma)$  as follows.

$$(\alpha) \quad A_0 \cap A_1 = \emptyset.$$

This is clear by the construction of these two sets.

$$(\beta) \quad A_0 \cup A_1 = [0, 1].$$

Indeed, by (ii), we have, for all  $x \in X$ , for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,

$$g(x, \eta(y_0, y_1, t)) \leq \max\{g(x, y_0), f(x, y_1)\}.$$

For each  $t \in [0, 1]$ , let  $x \in S_f(t)$ , so  $x \in S_g(t)$  by condition (0). Then  $g(x, \eta(y_0, y_1, t)) \geq \lambda - \epsilon$ . It follows that  $g(x, y_0) \geq \lambda - \epsilon$  or  $f(x, y_1) \geq \lambda - \epsilon$  by condition (ii). This implies that  $x \in S_g(0)$  or  $x \in S_f(1)$ , and hence  $S_g(t) \subset S_g(0) \cup S_f(1)$ . Since  $S_g(t)$  is connected,  $S_g(0)$  and  $S_f(1)$  are nonempty closed sets and  $S_g(0) \cap S_f(1) = \emptyset$ , we have either  $S_g(t) \subset S_g(0)$  or  $S_g(t) \subset S_f(1)$  and hence  $S_f(t) \subset S_g(0)$  or  $S_f(t) \subset S_f(1)$ . It follows that  $t \in A_0$  or  $t \in A_1$ . This shows  $(\beta)$ .

$$(\gamma) \quad \text{Both } A_0 \text{ and } A_1 \text{ are closed subsets of } [0, 1].$$

Let  $\{t_n\}$  be a sequence in  $A_0$  with  $t_n \rightarrow t_0 \in [0, 1]$ . Let  $x \in S_f(t_0)$ .

Then

$$f(x, \eta(y_0, y_1, t)) \geq \lambda - \epsilon. \tag{3}$$

From (iii), since  $f$  is lower semicontinuous on the  $\eta$  of  $Y$ , the function  $F$  defined by  $F(t) = f(x, \eta(y_0, y_1, t))$  is lower semicontinuous on  $[0, 1]$ ; thus  $\liminf_n F(t_n) \geq F(t_0)$ . It follows that there exists  $m \in N$  such that  $F(t_m) \geq F(t_0)$ . That is,  $f(x, \eta(y_0, y_1, t_m)) \geq f(x, \eta(y_0, y_1, t_0))$ . By (3), this implies that  $x \in S_f(t_m)$ . Since  $t_m \in A_0$ , we have  $x \in S_g(0)$ . Therefore,  $S_f(t_0) \subset S_g(0)$ . It follows that  $t_0 \in A_0$ , so  $A_0$  is closed. Similarly,  $A_1$  is closed.

From  $(\alpha)$ ,  $(\beta)$ ,  $(\gamma)$ , we get a contradiction about the connectedness of  $[0, 1]$ , since  $A_0$  and  $A_1$  are nonempty sets ( $0 \in A_0$  and  $1 \in A_1$ ). Therefore,  $S_g(0) \cap S_f(1) \neq \emptyset$ . Hence, (2) holds.

Now, we proceed the proof by induction on  $|F|$ , and suppose that  $\bigcap_{y \in F} U_g(y) \neq \emptyset$  for all  $F \subset Y$ ,  $2 \leq |F| \leq k$ .

Choose  $\{y_0, \dots, y_k\} \subset Y, y_i \neq y_j$  if  $i \neq j$ . From the above argument, in order to show that  $\bigcap_{i=0}^k U_g(y_i) \neq \emptyset$ , it is sufficient to show, for each  $\epsilon > 0$ , that

$$U_g(y_0, \lambda - \epsilon) \bigcap U_f(y_1, \lambda - \epsilon) \bigcap \left( \bigcap_{i=2}^k U_g(y_i, \lambda - \epsilon) \right) \neq \emptyset. \quad (4)$$

Let  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $H = \bigcap_{i=2}^k U_g(y_i, \lambda - \epsilon)$ . Notice that, by induction hypothesis, since  $\bigcap_{\epsilon > 0} [H \cap U_g(y, \lambda - \epsilon)] = (\bigcap_{i=2}^k U_g(y_i)) \cap U_g(y) \neq \emptyset$  for all  $y \in Y$ ,  $H \cap U_g(y, \lambda - \epsilon) \neq \emptyset$  for all  $y \in Y$ . In particular,

$$H \cap S_g(t) \neq \emptyset \text{ for all } t \in [0, 1].$$

Also, by (iv),  $H \cap S_g(t)$  is connected for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ . For  $t \in [0, 1]$ , we let

$$B_0 \equiv \{t \in [0, 1]; H \cap S_f(t) \subset H \cap S_g(0)\},$$

and

$$B_1 \equiv \{t \in [0, 1]; H \cap S_f(t) \subset H \cap S_f(1)\}.$$

If  $(H \cap S_g(0)) \cap (H \cap S_f(1))$  were empty set, then by the same argument as above, we would have

$$(\alpha') B_0 \cap B_1 = \emptyset.$$

$$(\beta') B_0 \cup B_1 = [0, 1].$$

$$(\gamma') \text{ Both } B_0 \text{ and } B_1 \text{ are nonempty closed subsets of } [0, 1].$$

This again contradicts the connectedness of  $[0, 1]$ . Therefore, (4) holds and hence  $\bigcap_{i=0}^k U_g(y_i) \neq \emptyset$ . □

**Remark 2.2.** The condition (iv) of Lemma 2.1 is a weaker form introduced by Geraghty and Lin [6] than a connected condition used by Terkelsen [7].

Using the above technique Lemma, we now establish our main result as follow.

**Theorem 2.3.** Let  $X$  be a nonempty compact set of a topological space and let  $Y$  be a nonempty  $\eta$ -connected set. Let  $f, g$  be real-valued functions defined on  $X \times Y$  with the following properties:

- (0)  $f(x, y) \leq g(x, y)$  for all  $(x, y) \in X \times Y$ ;
- (i)  $f(\cdot, y)$  and  $g(\cdot, y)$  are upper semicontinuous on  $X$  for each  $y \in Y$ ;
- (ii) For any  $y_0, y_1 \in Y$ ,

$$g(x, \eta(y_0, y_1, t)) \leq \max\{g(x, y_0), f(x, y_1)\}$$

- for all  $x \in X$ , for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ ;
- (iii)  $f$  is lower semicontinuous on the  $\eta$  of  $Y$ ;
- (iv) For any  $y_1, \dots, y_n \in Y$ , any  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ , the set  $\cap_{i=1}^n \{x \in X; g(x, y_i) \geq \lambda\}$  is either connected or empty.

Then

$$\inf_{y \in Y} \sup_{x \in X} f(x, y) \leq \sup_{x \in X} \inf_{y \in Y} g(x, y)$$

**Proof.** Let  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  with

$$\sup_{x \in X} \inf_{y \in Y} g(x, y) < \lambda.$$

Thus, there exists  $y_0 \in Y$  such that  $g(x, y_0) < \lambda$  for all  $x \in X$ . By Lemma 2.1, there exists  $y' \in Y$  such that  $f(x, y') < \lambda$  for all  $x \in X$ . This implies that  $\inf_{y \in Y} \sup_{x \in X} f(x, y) < \lambda$ . It follows that

$$\inf_{y \in Y} \sup_{x \in X} f(x, y) \leq \sup_{x \in X} \inf_{y \in Y} g(x, y)$$

□

The  $\eta$ -connected property uniformly on  $Y$  can be replaced by pointwise  $\eta$ -connectedness defined below. Let  $Y$  be a nonempty set. Define

$$G(y_0, y_1) \equiv \left\{ \eta : Y \times Y \times [0, 1] \longrightarrow Y; \eta \text{ is continuous and } \begin{array}{l} \eta(y_0, y_1, 0) = y_0 \\ \eta(y_0, y_1, 1) = y_1 \end{array} \right\}$$

We say  $Y$  is *pointwise  $\eta$ -connected* if for any  $y_0, y_1 \in Y$ , there exists  $\eta$  in  $G(y_0, y_1)$  such that

$$g(x, \eta(y_0, y_1, t)) \leq \max\{g(x, y_0), f(x, y_1)\}$$

for all  $x \in X$ , for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ .

By a similar process of proof in Lemma 2.1, we can get the following theorem immediately.

**Theorem 2.4.** Let  $X$  be a nonempty compact set of a topological space, let  $Y$  be a nonempty pointwise  $\eta$ -connected set. Let  $f, g$  be real-valued functions defined on  $X \times Y$  with the following properties:

- (0)  $f(x, y) \leq g(x, y)$  for all  $(x, y) \in X \times Y$ ;
- (i)  $f(\cdot, y)$  and  $g(\cdot, y)$  are upper semicontinuous on  $X$  for each  $y \in Y$ ;
- (ii) For any  $y_0, y_1 \in Y$ , there exists  $\eta$  in  $G(y_0, y_1)$  such that

$$g(x, \eta(y_0, y_1, t)) \leq \max\{g(x, y_0), f(x, y_1)\}$$

for all  $x \in X$ , for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ , and

$f$  is lower semicontinuous on the  $\eta$  of  $Y$

- (iii) For any  $y_1, \dots, y_n \in Y$ , any  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ , the set  $\cap_{i=1}^n \{x \in X; g(x, y_i) \geq \lambda\}$  is either connected or empty. Then for any  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have the following alternative:

Either there exists  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $g(x_0, y) \geq \lambda$  for all  $y \in Y$   
or there exists  $y_0 \in Y$  such that  $f(x, y_0) < \lambda$  for all  $x \in X$ .

**Theorem 2.5.** *Let  $X$  be a nonempty compact set of a topological space, let  $Y$  be a nonempty pointwise  $\eta$ -connected set. Let  $f, g$  be real-valued functions defined on  $X \times Y$  with the following properties:*

- (0)  $f(x, y) \leq g(x, y)$  for all  $(x, y) \in X \times Y$ ;
- (i)  $f(\cdot, y)$  and  $g(\cdot, y)$  are upper semicontinuous on  $X$  for each  $y \in Y$ ;
- (ii) For any  $y_0, y_1 \in Y$ , there exists  $\eta$  in  $G(y_0, y_1)$  such that

$$g(x, \eta(y_0, y_1, t)) \leq \max\{g(x, y_0), f(x, y_1)\}$$

for all  $x \in X$ , for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ , and

$f$  is lower semicontinuous on the  $\eta$  of  $Y$

- (iii) For any  $y_1, \dots, y_n \in Y$ , any  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ , the set  $\bigcap_{i=1}^n \{x \in X; g(x, y_i) \geq \lambda\}$  is either connected or empty.

Then

$$\inf_{y \in Y} \sup_{x \in X} f(x, y) \leq \sup_{x \in X} \inf_{y \in Y} g(x, y)$$